1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KEVIN J. BARRY (CABN 229748) Assistant United States Attorney NATALIE K. WIGHT (ORBN 35576) Special Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-6840 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 E-Mail: kevin.barry@usdoj.gov natalie.wight@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. ROBERT YOUNG DAHLGREN, Defendant. No. CR 10-0654 RS STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME		
22232425262728	During the parties' appearance on October 5, 2010, the Court set November 2, 2010, as the next date for a further status, change of plea, or trial setting hearing. During that appearance, counsel requested that time between October 5, 2010, and November 2, 2010, be excluded from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the purpose of effective preparation. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting such STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 10-0654 RS		

Case 3:10-cr-00654-RS Document 13 Filed 10/07/10 Page 2 of 2

1	an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.		
2	18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).		
3			
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
5			
6	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney		
7	DATED: October 6, 2010 /s/ KEVIN J. BARRY		
8			
9			
10		Dahlgren	
11	Attorney for Defendant, Robert 1. Danigren		
12	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
13	For the reasons stated above and at the October 5, 2010, hearing, the Court finds that		
14	exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period from		
15	October 5, 2010, through November 2, 2010, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by		
16	the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18		
17	U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel		
18	for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,		
19	taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18		
20	0 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22			
23	3 DATED: 10/7/10		
24	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28	8		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

CR 10-0654 RS